



Shri Naseeb Singh Virk,
S/o Shri Darshan Singh,
VPO Birk, Tehsil Jagraon,
Distt. Ludhiana.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SSP (Rural),
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o IGP, Ludhiana Range,
Ludhiana.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2813 of 2021

Present:- Shri Rakesh Gupta, Advocate is present on behalf of Shri Naseeb Singh Virk, appellant.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Naseeb Singh Virk, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.06.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.06.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 28.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Shri Rakesh Gupta, Advocate is present on behalf of Shri Naseeb Singh Virk, appellant, whereas none present on behalf of the respondent.

The complainant filed RTI application dated 04.06.2021 and he sought information regarding complaint nos. 485 and 486 dated 30.04.2020 given to SSP, Jagroan.

The SHO, Police Station, Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no. 413 dated 05.10.2021 along with a copy of letter No. 430 dated 12.10.2021. I have gone through the said letters and it is submitted that on the basis of above said complaints FIR No. 135 dated 08.09.2021 U/s 384, 506 IPC was registered against Shri Naseeb Singh, complainant and the matter is still under investigation, so the information is exempted U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Keeping in view the above said facts the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the direction to the SPIO that whenever the investigation in the concerned case will be completed the information sought by the complainant-applicant be sent to him within 15 days through registered post and compliance report be sent to the Commission.

Dated: 18.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Smt. Kiran Sharma,
W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar,
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police Station,
Shimlapuri,
Ludhiana.

Respondent

Complaint Case No. 727 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sushil Kumar is present on behalf of Smt. Kiran Sharma, complainant/
applicant.

None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri,
Ludhiana.

ORDER

Smt. Kiran Sharma, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 06.05.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Shri Sushil Kumar is present on behalf of Smt. Kiran Sharma, complainant/applicant, whereas none appeared on behalf of the respondent.

Shri Sushil Kumar is present on behalf of complainant and he stated that the RTI application was filed on 06.05.2021 and till today no information/reply has been provided to him by the SPIO. He further submitted that the applicant is in need of the required information.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority i.e. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter by passing a speaking order and sent back the compliance report to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 18.10.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. – for compliance of the Order.



Dr. Surekha,
68, Lajpat Nagar,
Jalandhar.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Superintendent Engineer,
(B&R Branch), Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 731 of 2021

Present:- Dr. Surekha, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Superintendent Engineer, (B&R Branch), Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar..

ORDER

Dr. Surekha, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 26.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Superintendent Engineer, (B&R Branch), Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas none present on behalf of the respondent.

Dr. Surekha complainant-applicant stated that the RTI application was filed on 26.03.2021 and till today no information has been provided to her by the PIO. She further submitted that she is in need of the required information.

Th Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority i.e. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter by passing a speaking order and sent back the compliance report to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 18.10.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

– for compliance of the Order.



Smt. Kailash Rani,
Science Mistress,
H.No. 3176, Sector 19-D,
Chandigarh.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 733 of 2021

Present:- Smt. Kailash Rani, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Gurpreet Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE),
Punjab, Mohali.

ORDER

Smt. Kailash Rani, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 04.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent, Shri Gurpreet Singh stated that they have sent the reply to the Commission through letter No. 2021268727 dated 09.08.2021 and letter no 2021163531 dated 20.05.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 04.04.2021 filed by the complainant-applicant and also gone through the reply submitted by the PIO and heard both the parties. The reply sent by the SPIO is not satisfactory.

The complainant stated that as she sought information regarding the deduction of 10% from her pension, so she sought copy of the order vide which the pension was deducted.

So, the SPIO is directed that he should sent the sought for information to the complainant-applicant within 3 days through registered post and compliance report be sent to the Commission. With this observation, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 18.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
Post Office Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sub Registrar,
Tehsil Phillaur,
Distt. Jalandhar.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 745 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Satwinder Singh, Tehsildar is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Sub Registrar, Tehsil Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar.

ORDER

Shri Jasbir Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 02.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Sub Registrar, Tehsil Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the reply has already been sent to the applicant on 23.04.2021 vide letter no. 20. He further stated that the information sought by the applicant is also available on the Website of the department i.e. <https://jalandhar.nic.in/> and revenue.punjab.gov.in and the applicant has visited the office of the SPIO and he has seen the sought for information available on the official website.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 86 dated 12.08.2021. I have gone through the said letter and the SPIO has submitted that the sought for information has already been supplied to the applicant.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 18.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Paramjit Singh,
H.No. 1703/9-A, Street No.5,
Gurmail Park, Tibba Road,
Ludhiana.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Tibba, Distt.. Ludhiana.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 758 of 2021

Present:- Sh. Paramjit Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Tibba, Distt..
Ludhiana.

ORDER

Sh. Paramjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.04.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Tibba, Distt.. Ludhiana vide letter dated 01.04.2021. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The applicant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO whereas he has filed RTI application on 01.04.2021. He further stated that under the political pressure of MLA Shri Sanjay Talwar, the SPIO is not providing him the information.

Shri Paranjit Singh, submitted that he is in need of the required information.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority i.e. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter by passing a speaking order and sent back the compliance report to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 18.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: The Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana – For compliance of the order.

Sh. Paramjit Singh,
H.No. 1703/9-A, Street No.5,
Gurmail Park, Tibba Road,
Ludhiana.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Tibba, Distt.. Ludhiana.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 759 of 2021

Present:- Sh. Paramjit Singh, complainant/applicant is
Shri SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Tibba, Distt.. Ludhiana.

ORDER

Sh. Paramjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.04.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Tibba, Distt.. Ludhiana vide letter dated 01.04.2021. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The applicant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO whereas he has filed RTI application on 01.04.2021. He further stated that under the political pressure of MLA Shri Sanjay Talwar, the SPIO is not providing him the information.

Shri Paranjit Singh, submitted that he is in need of the required information.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority i.e. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter by passing a speaking order and sent back the compliance report to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 18.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: The Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana – For compliance of the order.



Sh. Paramjit Singh,
H.No. 1703/9-A, Street No.5,
Gurmail Park, Tibba Road,
Ludhiana.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Tibba, Distt.. Ludhiana.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 760 of 2021

Present:- Sh. Paramjit Singh, complainant/applicant is
Shri SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Tibba, Distt.. Ludhiana.

ORDER

Sh. Paramjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.04.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Tibba, Distt.. Ludhiana vide letter dated 01.04.2021. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The applicant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO whereas he has filed RTI application on 01.04.2021. He further stated that under the political pressure of MLA Shri Sanjay Talwar, the SPIO is not providing him the information.

Shri Paranjit Singh, submitted that he is in need of the required information.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority i.e. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter by passing a speaking order and sent back the compliance report to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 18.10.2021

(Regd.) CC: The Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana – For compliance of the order.



Sh. Paramjit Singh,
H.No. 1703/9-A, Street No.5,
Gurmail Park, Tibba Road,
Ludhiana.

--Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Div. No. 7, Distt.. Ludhiana.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 761 of 2021

Present:- Sh. Paramjit Singh, complainant/applicant is
Shri SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Div. No. 7, Distt.. Ludhiana.

ORDER

Sh. Paramjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.04.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Div. No. 7, Distt. Ludhiana vide letter dated 01.04.2021. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The applicant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO whereas he has filed RTI application on 01.04.2021. He further stated that under the political pressure of MLA Shri Sanjay Talwar, the SPIO is not providing him the information.

Shri Paranjit Singh, submitted that he is in need of the required information.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority i.e. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and resolve the matter by passing a speaking order and sent back the compliance report to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 18.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: The Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana – For compliance of the order.



Shri Surjit Singh, S/o Shri Gokul Singh, (M: 98559-00321)
VPO Jarg, Tehsil Payal,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Registrar,
Co-operative Societies, Payal,
Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Registrar,
Co-operative Societies, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1953 of 2020

Present:- Shri Surjit Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Payal. Distt. Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Surjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.03.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Registrar, Co-op. Societies, Payal, Distt. Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 28.09.2021 diarized vide no. 21947, which is a copy of letter no. 1735 dated 23.09.2021 along with photo copy of Pay Order no. 000326 dated 23.09.2021 amounting to Rs. 2000/- in the name of Shri Surjit Singh, appellant and attested copies of information.

I have gone through letter no. 1735 dated 23.09.2021 and it is submitted by the respondent SPIO that the appellant sought information regarding the New Jarg Mander Multipurpose Co-operative Society Limited and the Jarg Milk Producer Co-operative Society Ltd., but no record is available in the office of the public authority regarding the said societies. He further submitted that the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- by way of Pay Order no.000326 dated 23.09.2021 has been sent to the appellant through registered post.

As the information sought by the appellant i.e. regarding the New Jarg Mander Multipurpose Co-operative Society Limited and the Jarg Milk Producer Co-operative Society Ltd. is not available in the office of the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Registrar, Co-op. Societies, Payal, Distt. Ludhiana, so the SPIO is unable to provide the sought for information to the appellant. The SPIO has also complied with the order of the Commission dated 17.08.2021 and paid the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- to the appellant. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 18.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Tejpreet Singh Uppal (Advocate),
Chamber No. 5, Court Complex,
Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director General School Education,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director General School Education,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1419 of 2021

Present:- Advocate Peerdan Kabir is present on behalf of Shri Tejpreet Singh Uppal (Advocate), appellant.
Sh. Jagdish Parshad, Jr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Ms. Bindu Gulati, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Director, O/o DPI (EE), Punjab.

O R D E R

Shri Tejpreet Singh Uppal (Advocate), appellant filed RTI application dated 13.07.2020 before the SPIO, O/o DPI (EE), Punjab, Mohali. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(i)(ii) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o Director General School Education, Mohali vide letter dated 17.08.2020.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Peerdan Kabir is present on behalf of the appellant and Shri Jagdish Parshad, Jr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO.

I have gone through the order dated 18.08.2021 and in compliance to the order Ms. Bindu Gulati, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Director, O/o DPI (EE), Punjab has sent letter dated 05.10.2021 along with duly attested affidavit dated 04.10.2021 of Ms. Bindu Gulati, Asstt. Director (Estt.-2).

I have gone through the letter and also gone through the contents of the affidavit. It is submitted in the affidavit that the SPIO has tried her best to trace out the record related with the information sought by the appellant, but the same could not be traced, so the information sought by the appellant cannot be provided.

As the SPIO has submitted in the affidavit that the information cannot be traced out in the office of the public authority, so she is unable to provide it to the appellant. So, I think that inspite of the best efforts made by the SPIO, the information sought by the appellant could not be traced and she is unable to provide it. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the copy of the affidavit be sent to the appellant along with the order of the Commission.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 18.10.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab

CC: (Regd.) DPI (EE), Punjab, Mohali – for compliance of the order.



Shri Vinod Kumar, S/o Shri Het Ram,
Village Chanan Wala, P.O. Karni Khera,
Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o ADC (Dev.),
Fazilka.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 211 of 2021

Present:- Shri Vinod Kumar, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DDPO, Fazilka.

O R D E R

Shri Vinod Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas none appeared on behalf of the respondent SPIO. The appellant stated that he has received the sought for information and also received the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/-.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 14.10.2021, diarized vide no. 23589, which is a copy of letter no. 425 dated 29.09.2021 along with photo copy of postal receipt dated 23.02.2021 and 03.09.2021.

I have gone through letter no. 425 dated 29.09.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post on 23.02.2021 and then again on 03.09.2021. It is further submitted by the respondent that the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- has also been sent to the appellant through registered post on 03.10.2021.

As the appellant has received the sought for information and also received the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- and is also satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 18.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Kamaljit Singh Anand, Advocate,
Chamber No. 5014, 5th Floor,
District Court, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1615 of 2021

Present:- Shri Kamaljit Singh Anand, Appellant is absent.
ASI Ashwani Kumar, O/o Police Station, Women Cell, Ludhiana is present.

ORDER

Shri Kamaljit Singh Anand, (Advocate), appellant filed RTI application dated 05.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX SOFTWARE.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 08.09.2021 the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 05.10.2021.

The appellant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission on 06.10.2021 and submitted that he has received the entire information which was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no 245-5A dated 05.10.2021. He further requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has also admitted through his e-mail that he has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 18.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Harjinder Singh,
S/o Shri Ajmer Singh,
H. NO. 1094, Street No.8,
Dashmesh Nagar, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2177 of 2021

Present:- Shri Harjinder Singh appellant is absent.
ASI Tarsem Lal, Belt No.1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Harjinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information comprising of 46 pages has been provided by hand to the appellant and the appellant has executed a duly signed receiving after receiving the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2177 dated 12.10.2021 along with a duly signed receiving executed by Shri Harjinder Singh, appellant.

I have gone through letter no.2177 dated 12.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the attested copies of 46 pages of information has been provided by hand to the appellant. I have also gone through the duly signed receiving executed by Shri Harjinder Singh, appellant and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information and also requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 18.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**